

Important Notice: The information contained in this e-mail, including any attachments, is not intended and should not be construed as an official confirmation of any transaction unless expressly provided. Furthermore, this e-mail may contain information that is confidential, non-public and protected by privilege from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete this e-mail, including attachments, and notify the sender. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this e-mail, including attachments, is prohibited and may be unlawful.

From: Cote, Mike - Middlebury, CT
Sent: Monday, January 08, 2007 9:26 AM
To: 'Tom Biksey'
Subject: RE: PCB report

Hi Tom

Have you spoken with Tina yet about getting back onto the delineation work? Also, you should have the value contract for the copying of documents and the hydrogeologic evaluation by now; if you haven't please let me know right away. Do you have a schedule for this work too?

Mike Cote

Chemtura Corporation

203-573-3545

From: Tom Biksey [mailto:tbiksey@escpa.com]
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 4:15 PM
To: Cote, Mike - Middlebury, CT
Subject: Re: PCB report

Mike,

Regarding field sampling, per our recommendations, we are first to meet with GE to discuss how we will sample by the creek and under the concrete pad. Then, we will prepare a Phase III work plan to submit to GE. We could submit the workplan to you within two weeks of that meeting for your review. Mike Gelles and I are free the first week of Jan to do the preliminary site visit to discuss how to do the sampling. We are also free the second week on W/R/F, and the third week. Do you want to attend this meeting also? Is the other investigation area still on hold (where we proposed soil removal via vacuum truck)? Let me know and I could start the process by contacting Tina regarding a site visit date. I would doubt we could get it together next week before Christmas, or between Christmas and New Years.

Regarding groundwater, there were 3 wells that had hits of PCBs ranging from 0.9 to 3.7 ug/l. When we were first putting together the proposal with Mark Pettegrew for the PCB characterization work, GE wanted these wells sampled. ENVIRON had proposed in their initial workplan to sample these wells, see attached wp and figure. The two downgradient wells (3204 and 3101) are located on the attached figure, however, well 5502 with a concentration of 1.7 ug/l does not have the station number or concentration box with it. The well symbol is located at the top of the figure, above SB58s. The data tables in the Phase II report had the concentration for the well, and had a figure showing the location of this well (Figure 9). Mark did not want to sample the gw, because we thought it was due to turbidity, and did not want to chase groundwater. GE ultimately agreed to this in conference calls. There were other wells that had NDs for PCBs, these are found on Figure 5 of the Phase II report. Do you have that report? I have a pdf of 9MBs if you need it.

Have you made a decision on the proposal for Evaluation of Facility Recovery Well?

Smooth seas and fair winds,